Chapter 2  
The Financial-Market Environment

◼ Instructor’s Resources

Chapter Overview

This chapter provides an overview of the institutional framework for channeling funds from net savers to net borrowers. The discussion begins with three basic types of financial institutions—commercial banks, investment banks, and the shadow-banking system. Financial markets more broadly are then introduced along with the distinction between (i) money and capital markets and (ii) primary and secondary markets. Considerable attention is also focused on the oft-misunderstood topic of “efficient markets.” In the capital-markets discussion, the step-by-step process for Initial Public Offerings of common stock is described to provide a real-world example of funds travelling from net savers to net borrowers and illustrate the role investment bankers play in that journey. Next, key features of U.S. financial regulation—deposit insurance, the Securities Act of 1933, and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Dodd Frank are—are laid out. The history of Glass-Steagall—enacted in the 1930s to prevent future banking crises by separating commercial and investment banking and repealed in 1999— is offered to illustrate the evolution of financial markets and regulatory responses to those changes. The chapter concludes with an exploration of the role of housing finance in the Financial Crisis and the Great Recession of 2007–09.

* Answers to Review Questions

2-1. Financial institutions are intermediaries that facilitate the flow of individual, business, and government savings into loans and investments. Broadly speaking, net savers (primarily individuals) prefer low risk and easy access to their money while net borrowers (businesses and government) would like to take risk with the funds and tie them up for a longer term. Financial institutions transform loans and investments into forms savers prefer to hold (such as deposits) or help net borrowers issue debt and equity instruments tailored to saver preferences.

2-2 Overall, the same entities that supply funds—individuals, businesses, and governments—also demand them, so these three groups are all financial-institution customers. That said, the key demanders of funds (net borrowers) are businesses and governments while the key suppliers (net savers) are individuals.

2-3 Commercial banks, investment banks, and the shadow-banking system are all financial institutions. Broadly speaking, commercial banks transform the deposits of net savers into loans to net borrowers. Investment banks, in contrast, do not “transform” the liquidity and riskiness of financial assets. Instead, they help “match” demanders and issuers of debt and equity instruments. Specifically, investment banks instruct companies on the best vehicles for raising capital, advise them on mergers/restructuring, and engage in trading and market-making to support their consulting function. Finally, the shadow-banking system performs services for net savers and borrowers similar to commercial banks—but without issuing deposits. By not relying on deposit funding, shadow banks can evade prudential regulation designed to constrain risk-taking by ordinary banks.

2-4. Financial markets facilitate direct interaction of suppliers and demanders of funds. In primary markets, debt and equity instruments are sold the first time—a direct exchange between the firm or government issuing securities and the purchasers. An example is Microsoft Corporation selling new shares of common stock to private investors. In secondary markets, previous issued securities are traded subsequent times; the original issuers receive no new funds. An example is an investor buying a share of outstanding Microsoft common stock from another investor through a broker. Put simply, primary markets feature sales of “new” securities while “used” security transactions take place in secondary markets. Primary and secondary markets have a symbiotic relationship—the easier the resale of a financial asset in a secondary market, the easier the initial sale of that asset in a primary market. Similarly, financial institutions and financial markets are far from independent. Commercial banks, for example, hold large inventories of U.S. Treasury securities to improve the liquidity and risk of their asset portfolio, and strong bank demand makes it easier for the Treasury to sell debt in the first place. Because banks have taken deposits and made loans since the days of goldsmiths in Medieval Europe, they enjoy a comparative advantage in originating and monitoring commercial loans. Aware of this advantage, the capital markets watch bank lending for clues about borrower financial strength. When a commercial bank announces a new loan to a publicly traded firm, that firm’s stock price typically rises.

2-5 A private placement is the sale of a new security directly to an investor or a small group of sophisticated investors (such as insurance companies and pension funds). A public offering, in contrast, is the sale of newly issued stock or bonds to the public at large. Firms typically rely on public offerings when they need large sums.

2-6. The money market features trading in short-term, highly marketable debt instruments; “short term” here means an original maturity of one year or less. Money-market instruments typically carry low risk of capital losses. Examples of money-market instruments include U.S. Treasury bills, commercial paper, and negotiable certificates of deposit (issued by large commercial banks). The Eurocurrency market is the international analogue of the U.S. money market. This market features loans of currency held in banks outside the country where it is [legal tender](https://www.investopedia.com/terms/l/legal-tender.asp). Participants typically use the Eurocurrency market to evade domestic regulations and tax laws. The term stems from the European origin of this market; “Eurocurrency” has nothing to do with the euro *per se* and is no longer specific to Europe.

2-7. The capital market features trading in instruments with original maturities exceeding one year such as bonds and stock (common and preferred). Capital-market instruments are exchanged in broker and dealer markets. In broker markets, a broker coordinates buy and sell orders, executing trades at the midpoint of the bid/ask spread (the highest price a buyer is willing to pay minus the lowest price a seller is willing to accept). The best known broker market is the NYSE, which accounts for more than 25% of stock-market trades. In dealer markets, a market maker executes buy and sell orders using her personal inventory and two distinct trades. For example, an investor might sell the dealer Microsoft stock at the bid price and then, in an independent transaction, another investor would buy Microsoft stock from the dealer at the ask price. “Ask” exceeds “bid,” so the dealer’s reward for maintaining an inventory of Microsoft stock is the opportunity to “buy low, sell high.” The difference, in short, between broker and dealer markets turns on whether traders or dealers provide the liquidity.

2-8 Firms see the capital market as a source of external finance for long-term projects. Put another way, they sell new bonds and stock to raise funds to build factories, launch marketing campaigns, and expand into new markets. Accordingly, they want a liquid market—one “deep” enough to accept newly issued securities at favorable prices. Investors, in contrast, see the capital market as a savings vehicle for long-term needs like retirement. As citizens of the macroeconomy, investors would also like the capital market to steer scarce funds to the most productive uses. To these ends, investors want an efficient capital market—one where securities prices reflect all available information and react swiftly to new information. Capital-market efficiency means investors need not waste time trying to identify over or undervalued securities or exploitable patterns in securities prices. Instead, they can maximize long-term returns by putting their savings in diversified mutual funds (i.e., avoiding countless hours studying individual stocks and bonds). Investors will also enjoy higher aggregate growth of output and employment from the spotlight securities prices shine on firms most able to profitably use their savings.

2-9 The first years of Great Depression featured the worst contraction in American history. Between August 1929 and March 1933, industrial production fell 52%, the Dow Jones Industrial Average tumbled 89%, unemployment soared to nearly 25%, and roughly 9,000 banks failed (37% of those operating in December 1929). Franklin Roosevelt won the 1932 election with a mandate to restore prosperity and prevent future depressions. Much of the U.S. framework for financial and financial-institution regulation stems from the First New Deal (1933–34). This framework addressed specific factors thought to have caused the slump. To protect depositors from losses in bank failures, the Banking Act of 1933 created federal deposit insurance. To prevent failures in the first place, the Act also barred commercial banks from security underwriting, which was thought to pose dangerous additional risks. To head off fraudulent investment schemes like those preceding the stock-market crash of 1929, the Securities Act of 1933 and Securities Exchange Act of 1934 forced companies wishing to issue public securities to disclose information about their financial condition.

2-10 Both Acts required companies wishing to participate in securities markets to disclose significant information to the public. The Securities Act of 1933 focused on the primary market, compelling sellers of new securities provide reasonably accurate portrayals of their firms to prospective investors. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in contrast, regulated trading in secondary markets; forcing publicly traded companies to keep investors informed about firm condition on an ongoing basis. The latter Act also created the Securities Exchange Commission to enforce federal securities laws.

2-11 Angel investors and venture capitalists are both sources of private equity. “Angels” are usually wealthy individuals who fund promising start-ups in return for a slice of firm equity. Venture capitalists, in contrast, are businesses that pool contributions from individuals (often institutional investors like university endowments and pension funds) and invest those funds in promising start-ups. In short, angels pick “winners” themselves whereas venture capitalists pick “winners” for their clients.

2-12 Venture capitalists (VCs) are organized as (i) limited partnerships (most common), (ii) small business investment companies (SBICs), (iii) financial funds, and (iv) corporate funds. The principal difference is how the VC was created. The federal government charters SBICs. Financial institutions (usually commercial banks), in contrast, create financial funds as subsidiaries while nonfinancial firms launch corporate funds, sometimes as subsidiaries. Unlike other VC types, limited partnerships are launched by private individuals. All VCs use a legal agreement to specify deal structure and pricing. Deal structure allocates responsibilities between the start-up and VC and may include constraints on the firm to enhance its chance of success and mitigate VC risk. Pricing depends on the (i) value of the start-up, (ii) perceived risk of its business operations, and (iii) amount of funding needed. In general, VCs provide less funding and require a greater ownership stake when the firm is the early stages of development.

2-13 Firms wishing to go public must (i) secure approval from current shareholders, (ii) obtain certification of the accuracy of their financial documents from company auditors and lawyers, (iii) hire an originating investment bank, (iv) file a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), (v) participate in roadshows with the investment bank to spark interest among potential investors and learn about a suitable issuing price, (vi) obtain final SEC approval after the investment bank has finalized issue terms and offer price, and (vii) sell the issue to the investment bank at the guarantee price. The investment bank will then assume the risk of placing the issue with primary-market investors.

2-14 Broadly speaking, an investment bank facilitates a firm’s issuance of new securities. In a common-stock issue, the bank helps the issuer file a registration statement with the SEC and market the offering to potential investors in a roadshow. The bank also sets the offering price and other terms of the issue. All along the way, the originating investment bank provides advice to help the issuer maximize the volume of funds raised. Finally, the originating bank buys the new securities from the issuer at the guarantee price and then resells the issue to primary-market investors. Sometimes the bank will form a syndicate of other investment banks to share the financial risk of placing the issue.

2-15 Securitization is the process of creating highly liquid marketable securities out of illiquid assets. The first assets securitized on a large scale were residential mortgages—securitizers “pooled” the mortgages and then issued debt claims backed by cash flows from those pools. In other words, the interest and principal on “mortgage-backed” securities (MBSs) paid to investors came from mortgage payments by residential homeowners. Securitization facilitated investment in mortgages by unbundling risk. Lenders might need their funds before the mortgage is repaid or lose money if the homeowner defaults. Securitization allows mortgage originators to earn fees from making the loans but then reduce liquidity and credit risk by selling the mortgage to a securitizer (who, in turn, creates a security with cash flows tailored to the preferences of market investors). Securitizing mortgages promotes efficient risk sharing, which in turn, makes the real-estate sector a more attractive place to invest.

2-16 A mortgage-backed security (MBS) is a debt instrument backed by residential mortgages. “Backed” means principal and interest paid to MBS investors come from payments by residential homeowners with mortgages in the underlying pool. The primary MBS risk is credit risk, the chance homeowners will not make monthly principal and interest payments as stipulated in their mortgage contracts.

2-17 When a home buyer takes out a mortgage, initial equity—the difference between purchase price and mortgage-loan balance—is simply the down payment. Over time, equity will rise as the borrower reduces the mortgage balance with monthly principal and interest payments. Should housing prices rise, the gap between house value and mortgage balance will widen further—that is to say, home equity rises even faster. If a borrower needs to skip a mortgage payment, the lender will typically allow her to tap equity. Rising prices also imply a vibrant housing market, so a borrower permanently unable to make the monthly payments can easily sell her home to pay off the mortgage.

2-18. A large decline in housing prices could push the value of a borrower’s home below the mortgage balance. With negative equity, the borrower could hold the loss at the original down payment by allowing the lender to foreclose. The only cost would be the negative impact on the borrower’s credit score. But if the decline in housing prices has led many other homeowners to walk away from their mortgages, this borrower may not be too concerned about the blot on her credit report, thinking future lenders will understand the circumstances.

2-19 The Great Recession of 2007–09 illustrates how a financial-sector crisis can metastasize. In the years running up to the recession, securitizers increasingly pooled mortgage loans to borrowers with less-than-stellar credit. At the time, “subprime” loans seemed relatively low risk because of rapidly rising housing prices. Then, when home prices began to level off (and even dip in some markets), mortgage delinquencies and defaults started climbing. With payments on underlying mortgages falling, the value of mortgage-back securities (MBSs) began to fall as well. Large investment banks (like Lehmann Brothers) and commercial banks (like Citibank) held considerable inventories of now-problematic MBSs. To offset rising MBS losses, commercial banks sharply curbed lending, which produced an economy-wide decline in consumer and investment spending. Investment banks, meanwhile, were large players in the money market—Lehmann, for example, routinely sold a large amount of commercial paper (short-term unsecured corporate debt). When the firm collapsed almost overnight (rendering its commercial paper worthless), the money market froze as investors became wary of all unsecured debt. Now, nonfinancial companies that regularly tapped the money market for short-term funding found themselves in squeeze. They responded by slashing costs and hoarding cash, which put even more downward pressure on economy-wide consumer and investment spending.

* Suggested Answer to *Focus on Ethics* Box: Should Insider Trading Be Legal?

*Suppose insider trading were legal. Would it still present an ethical issue for insiders wishing to trade on non-public information?*

Yes, even if legal, insider trading could still raise ethical concerns because of potential conflict between an executive’s duty to shareholders and her concern for personal wealth. Suppose, for example, a senior executive with considerable firm stock learned of safety issues with a popular product so serious a massive recall might be necessary. The executive has a fiduciary duty to work with the senior management team on a plan to contain damage to firm stock. Were insider trading legal, she might be tempted to hedge the possibility the plan might fail by dumping her stock quietly before the market became aware of the problem.

* Answers to Warm-Up Exercises

E2-1 ***Suppliers and demanders of funds* (LG 1)**

Answer: Individuals as a whole (i.e., the household sector) spend less than they earn and invest the surplus in firms directly (by purchasing their stocks and bonds) or indirectly (through financial institutions —as in making deposits a commercial bank who then lends the funds to firms). If individuals consume more/save less, fewer dollars will be available for investment, thereby driving up the cost of those funds to net borrowers in the form of higher required returns/interest rates. Over time, the rise in returns/rates will reduce investment and economic growth, which means lower growth in incomes and employment.

E2-2 ***Raising funds*****(LG 2)**

Answer: Gaga can raise the needed $10 million by borrowing from a commercial bank or issuing stocks or bonds in the primary market. To obtain $10 million from a commercial bank, Gaga will likely need an ongoing deposit relationship with that bank. Such a relationship gives the bank low-cost information about Gaga’s cash flows that reduce the cost of lending to the firm. Over time, as Gaga repeatedly borrows and repays the loans, the bank will collect even more information, further reducing the cost of lending. Should Gaga wish to sell bonds or stock to raise the $10 million— that is, tap the financial markets directly for the funding rather than a commercial bank — its first step will be to retain an investment bank for needed expertise, such as advice on what securities to sell and terms to offer. Investment banks offer valuable expertise earned over time through market-making/trading activities and advising many firms on securities sales

E2-3 ***Money market vs. capital market* (LG 3)**

Answer: Short-term, highly liquid, low-risk debt trades in the money market. Reputable firms needing cash for one year or less to fund ongoing operations have traditionally tapped the money market. Suppose a well-known, financially sound firm specializing in recreational-vehicle (RV) sales needs inventory for the summer driving/camping season. The company might sell 90-day commercial paper for money to buy RVs wholesale and then pay off the debt with proceeds from summer sales. Firms sell new bonds and stock in the capital market (where debt and equity with maturities exceeding one year trade) to fund long-term projects like construction of new factories.

E2-4 ***Biggest* *benefit of government regulation* (LG 4)**

Answer: The scale and scope of government involvement in the economy will always be subject to debate, but most economists agree on the need for some financial-sector regulation. Well-designed regulation promotes confidence in the financial system, and individuals and businesses who trust financial institutions and markets are more likely to save and invest. More savings and investment, in turn, confers economy-wide benefits through the resulting growth in output, incomes, and employment.

E2-5  ***Determining net proceeds from stock sale* (LG 5)**

Answer: Net proceeds = (1,000,000 × $20 x 0.95) + (250,000 × $20 × 0.90)

= $19,000,000 + $4,500,000 = $23,500,000

E2-6. ***Mortgage-backed securities (MBSs)*****(LG 6)**

Answer: Students should start by asking about the following:

a. The location of houses securing the underlying mortgages (As the old saying goes, the three most important determinants of real-estate prices are “location, location, location.”)

b. The percentage of underlying mortgages in foreclosure or “under water” (i.e., with market values below the remaining balance) in the region

c. The percentage of underlying mortgages currently delinquent

d. Any neighborhood restrictions on renting and about the strength of the regional rental market

e. The precedence of MBS investors in bankruptcy (i.e., would other lenders have a senior claim on the houses securing the mortgages?)

f. The condition of homes securing the underlying mortgages (e.g., would repairs be needed to sell or rent in the event of foreclosure?)

g. The creditworthiness of homeowners still current on their mortgages (i.e., how likely is it borrowers will be unable to make timely payments in the future?)

h. The percentage of pool mortgages with adjustable interest rates resetting soon (particularly in a rising rate environment because a reset means borrowers will face higher mortgage payments)

* Solutions to Problems

P2-1. ***Transactions costs* (LG3)**

1. Bid/Ask Spread = Ask Price – Bid Price = $263,770 – $262,850 = $920

If Scottrade routes the buy order to the NYSE (a broker market), a market maker will execute the trade at the midpoint of the bid/ask spread. In this transaction, the market maker serves as broker, bringing your buy order together with someone else’s sell order and forgoing the bid/ask spread, so total transactions cost is only the brokerage commission paid to Scottrade – $7.

If Scottrade routes the buy order to the NASDAQ (a dealer market), the market maker will execute the order from her own inventory and charge half the bid/ask spread. So total transactions costs will be (0.50 × $920) plus the $7 commission or $467.

The midpoint of the bid/ask spread is the implied market value of the stock, and the market value of the trade equals the product of the market value of the stock and the number of shares traded.

Midpoint of bid/ask spread = ($263,770 + $262,850) / 2 = $263,310

So, implied market value of the trade = $263,310 × 1 share = $263,310

P2-2. ***Transactions costs* (LG 3)**

a. Transactions costs = (Number of shares) × [(0.50) x (Bid/ask spread)]   
 + Brokerage commission

$59.95 = [(1,200) × (0.50) × (Bid/ask spread)] + $29.95

Bid/ask spread = ($59.95 – $29.95) / 600 = $0.05

b. Twitter is listed on the NYSE, a broker market. So, had Charles Schwab routed the order to the NYSE, it could have been executed against a buy order, and total transaction costs would have been only the $29.95 brokerage commission. But transaction costs included half the bid/ask spread per share traded, so either (i) the order went to the NYSE, no public buy order was available, and the market maker bought the 1,200 shares for her inventory (at a cost of half the bid/ask spread per share) or (ii) Charles Schwab routed the order to a dealer market like NASDAQ, and a market maker added the shares to her inventory (at half the spread per share).

c. Transactions costs = (Number of shares) × [(0.50) × (Bid/ask spread)]   
 + Brokerage commission

$47.95 = [(1,200) × (0.50) × (Bid/ask spread)] + $29.95

Bid/ask spread = ($47.95 – $29.95) / 600 = $0.03

1. Total transactions costs = Transactions costs from sale + Transactions costs from purchase

Total transactions costs = $59.95 + $47.95 **= $107. 90**

Costs could have been reduced costs by placing both trades online with a request for routing to the NYSE where the chance of crossing with other public orders is greatest. Had no market maker been necessary, total costs would have been only the $4.95 Schwab commission per trade.
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P2-3. ***Initial public offerings* (LG 5)**

1. Total proceeds = (IPO offer price) × (IPO shares issued) = $11 × 10.5 million = $115,500,000
2. Percentage underwriting discount = (Underwriting discount) / Offer price = $0.77/$11 = 7%
3. Underwriting fee ($) = ($0.77) × (10.5 million shares) = $8,085,000.  
   Or, (Percentage underwriting discount) × (Total proceeds) = (7%) × ($115,500,000) = $8,085,000
4. Net proceeds = Total proceeds – Underwriting fee = $115,500,000 – $8,085,000 = $107,415,000
5. IPO underpricing = [(Market price) – (Offer price)] / Offer price = [$13.41 – $11] / $11 = 21.9%
6. Market capitalization = (Market price of stock) × (Number of shares outstanding)  
   = ($13.41) × (85,489,470) = **$1,146,413,792.70**

P2-4. ***Initial public offerings* (LG5)**

1. Total proceeds = (IPO offer price) × (Number of IPO shares issued) = ($18) × (8.25 million)

= $148,500,000

1. Underwriting fee ($) = (6.5%) × ($148,500,000) = $9,652,500
2. Net proceeds = Total proceeds – Underwriting fee = $148,500,000 – $9,652,500 = $138,847,500
3. Market capitalization = (Market price of stock) × (Number of shares outstanding)  
   = ($16.10) × (31,025,936) = $499,517,569.6
4. IPO underpricing = [(Market price) – (Offer price)] / Offer price = [$16.10 – $18] / $18 =   
   –10.56%
5. Negative underpricing indicates secondary-market investors are not willing to pay as much for existing shares as primary-market investors were for new shares – a rare case of primary-market investors losing money on IPO shares.

P2-5. ***Ethics problem* (LG 4)**

An ethical issue arises because of access to material nonpublic information and the potential conflict between an insider’s duty to shareholders and concern for personal wealth. For example, suppose an insider knows about a planned acquisition and quietly buys shares of the target firm— a move likely to be lucrative because, on average, the stock price of targets jumps on news of an acquisition. In this example, the insider puts personal gain ahead of shareholder welfare. Other market participants might observe the insider’s behavior and buy shares of the target firm as well— thereby boosting the target’s share price and raising the cost of the acquisition.

* Case

*Case studies are available on* [www.pearson.com/mylab/finance](http://www.pearson.com/mylab/finance)*.*

Pros and Cons of Being Publicly Listed

a. Going public will enable Robo-Tech to raise more external capital without additional bankruptcy risk. [Unlike creditors, shareholders cannot take the firm to bankruptcy court if expected dividends are not paid.] Going public will also allow the company to continue operating after Mr. Bradley (the owner/CEO) retires or dies and, before then, insulate him from personal liability for Robo-Tech debts. Finally, going public will give Mr. Bradley a chance to sell personal shares to cash in on his work building the firm or diversify his wealth. [Currently, his human capital and financial wealth are both largely tied up Robo-Tech. After the IPO, Mr. Bradley could sell some Robo-Tech shares and invest in the stocks and bonds of companies in other industries.]

b. The disadvantages of going public include (i) more burdensome SEC reporting requirements, (ii) potential dilution of Mr. Bradley’s managerial control (e.g., if the IPO left him with fewer than 50% of Robo-Tech shares, a takeover artist could purchase controlling interest and force his removal as CEO), and finally (iii) double taxation of Mr. Bradley’s income (i.e., Robo-Tech will pay corporate income tax on firm profits, and Mr. Bradley will pay personal income tax on dividends/capital gains from company stock).

c. Robo-Tech is probably too small to meet NYSE and NASDAQ listing requirements. The firm will probably trade over-the-counter or on regional exchanges.

d. If the capital market is efficient, the price of Robo-Tech stock will provide an unbiased estimate of firm value. Efficiency also implies movements in stock price following news about the company will also be unbiased. Robo-Tech will, therefore, have an external real-time “report card” on management actions. For example, suppose extensive research led management to believe moving several U.S. plants to Latin America would create value for shareholders. If firm stock dipped on the announcement, other things equal, management would know the market did not share their enthusiasm.

* Spreadsheet Exercise

Answers to Chapter 2’s MuleSoft spreadsheet problem are available on [www.pearson.com/mylab/finance](http://www.pearson.com/mylab/finance).

* Group Exercise

*There is no group exercise for Chapter 2.*